

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

3 1761 04860 9242

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 2

Hamish Stewart


Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2001-2002

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

Storage

KE
8440
.A7S84
2001
v.2
c.1



EVIDENCE


VOLUME 2

Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2001-2002

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/evidence02stew>

Table of Contents

5. CREDIBILITY	371
5(a) Enhancing Credibility	371
5(a)(i) Expert Evidence	371
R. v. Kyselka et al.	371
R. v. Marquard	373
5(a)(ii) Prior Consistent Statements	379
R. v. Giraldi	380
R. v. Campbell	383
5(b) Impeaching Credibility	387
5(b)(i) Expert Evidence	387
Toohey v Metropolitan Police Commissioner	387
R. v. French	392
5(b)(ii) Prior Inconsistent Statements: Other Party's Witness	397
5(b)(iii) Prior Inconsistent Statements: Own Witness	397
5(b)(iv) Prior Convictions	401
R. v. St. Pierre	401
Morris v. The Queen	403
Corbett v. The Queen	414
Applying Corbett	440
5(b)(v) Duty to Cross-Examine	442
5(b)(vi) The Collateral Facts Bar	444
R. v. Rafael	444
Defining "Collateral Facts"	445
Problems on Collateral Facts	447
Exceptions to the Collateral Facts Bar	451
5(c) Reputation for Veracity	452
R. v. Clarke	452
5(d) Assessing the Credibility of Children	462
R. v. B. (G.)	462
5(e) Corroboration	465
R. v. Baskerville	465
Vetrovec v. The Queen; Gaja v. The Queen	467
Vetrovec Warnings	478
R. v. B. (G.)	478
6. CHARACTER	486
6(a) Character Directly in Issue	486
6(b) Character as Circumstantial Evidence	486

6(c)	<u>Putting Character in Issue</u>	486
	<u>R. v. McNamara et al. (No. 1)</u>	487
6(d)	<u>Methods of Proving Character: Accused Persons</u>	492
6(d)(i)	<u>Reputation</u>	492
	NOTE: <u>R. v. Rowton</u>	492
	<u>R. v. Levasseur</u>	493
	<u>R. v. Profit (Ont.C.A.)</u>	496
	<u>R. v. Profit (S.C.C.)</u>	504
6(d)(ii)	<u>Specific Acts</u>	506
	<u>R. v. McNamara et al. (No. 1)</u>	506
6(d)(iii)	<u>Psychiatric Evidence of Disposition</u>	509
	<u>R. v. Lupien</u>	509
	<u>R. v. Robertson</u>	513
	<u>R. v. Mohan</u>	515
	<u>Morin v. R.</u>	525
6(e)	<u>Methods of Proving Character: Third Party Suspects</u>	531
	<u>R. v. McMillan</u>	531
6(f)	<u>Methods of Proving Character: Victims</u>	537
	<u>R. v. Scopelliti</u>	537
7.	<u>SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE</u>	545
	<u>Makin v. Attorney-General for New South Wales</u>	545
	<u>R. v. Smith</u>	547
	<u>R. v. Straffen</u>	548
	NOTE: <u>Director of Public Prosecutions v Boardman</u>	551
	<u>Sweitzer v. The Queen</u>	559
	<u>R. v. B (C.R.)</u>	563
	Note: <u>R. v. C.(M.H.)</u>	575
	Note: <u>R. v. B. (L.)</u>	576
	Note: <u>R. v. Arp</u>	587
	Note: <u>R. v. Guay</u>	591
8.	<u>STATEMENTS BY ACCUSED PERSONS: COMMON LAW</u>	592
8(a)	<u>Introduction</u>	592
8(b)	<u>Pre-Trial Silence</u>	592
	<u>R. v. Eden</u>	592
	<u>R. v. Sweeney (No. 2)</u>	594
	<u>R. v. Sayegh (No. 3)</u>	597
	<u>R. v. Chambers</u>	600
8(c)	<u>Consciousness of Guilt</u>	606
	<u>R. v. Arcangioli</u>	606

R. v. White	609
-------------------	-----

8(d) Statements to Persons in Authority.....617

8(d)(i) <u>Who is a Person in Authority?</u>	617
<u>R. v. Rothman</u>	617
<u>R. v. Hodgson</u>	624
8(d)(ii) <u>The Voir Dire</u>	635
8(d)(iii) <u>Voluntariness: Fear of Prejudice or Hope of Advantage</u>	637
<u>Ibrahim v. The King</u>	637
<u>Some Examples of Statements Induced by Police</u>	639
8(d)(iv) <u>Voluntariness: Operating Mind</u>	641
<u>Ward v. R.</u>	641
<u>Horvath v. The Queen</u>	643
8(d)(v) <u>Voluntariness: Oppression</u>	658
<u>Note on Oppressive Questioning</u>	658
<u>R. v. Serack</u>	659
8(d)(vi) <u>Confession Confirmed by Subsequent Fact</u>	660
<u>Rex v. St. Lawrence</u>	660

